UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA \* Case No. 18-CR-204(NGG)

\*

\* Brooklyn, New York
\* April 24, 2018

ALLISON MACK,

Defendant. \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR BAIL APPLICATION
BEFORE THE HONORABLE VIKTOR V. POHORELSKY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## APPEARANCES:

V.

For the Government: MOIRA KIM PENZA, ESQ.

TANYA HAJJAR, ESQ.

Asst. United States Attorney
United States Attorney's Office

271 Cadman Plaza Brooklyn, NY 11201

For the Defendant: SEAN BUCKLEY, ESQ.

WILLIAM McGOVERN, ESQ.

Kobre & Kim, LLP 800 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

Fiore Reporting and Transcription Service, Inc. 4 Research Drive, Suite 402 Shelton, Connecticut 06484 (203)929-9992

```
2
 1
             (Proceedings commenced at 2:38 p.m.)
 2
                  THE CLERK: Criminal cause for a bail application.
 3
        Case number 18-CR-204, United States versus Allison Mack.
 4
                  Counsel, your name for the record.
                  MS. PENZA: Moire Kim Penza and Tanya Hajjar for
 5
        the United States. Good afternoon, Your Honor.
 6
 7
                  MR. BUCKLEY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Sean
 8
        Buckley and William McGovern, of the law firm Kobre & Kim,
 9
        LLP, on behalf of Ms. Mack.
                  THE COURT: Good afternoon. The purpose of the
10
        proceeding today, as I understand it, is to address the
11
        question of bail?
12
                  MS. PENZA: That's correct, Your Honor.
13
                  THE COURT: Is that the only issue for the Court?
14
15
                  MS. PENZA: Yes, Your Honor.
16
                  THE COURT: All right. I've been presented with a
        proposed order setting conditions of release, which includes
17
        an appearance bond in the amount of $5 million, with co-
18
19
        signers, as I understand it.
20
                  Am I correct in understanding that this set of
21
        conditions of release and bond have been agreed upon by both
22
        the government and the defendant?
23
                  MS. PENZA: That's correct, Your Honor.
24
                  MR. BUCKLEY: That's correct, Judge.
25
                  THE COURT: All right. There's one thing that,
```

again, I find a little confusing. There's -- item No. 3 in the condition of release says that "Defendant must avoid and not go to any of the following locations," and it says "See paragraph 5."

Paragraph 5 says "home detention," but it doesn't describe any of the following -- any locations where the defendant is not to go.

And paragraph 5, if that's a reference to attachment A, that also contains no locations to which the defendant is not supposed to go. So I have some difficulty understanding this.

MS. PENZA: Well, Your Honor, the government's position -- I think everybody is in agreement, is that the defendant will be on home detention.

There have been -- there has been nothing put forward in terms of places where she would want to go in terms of like religious services or things like that.

So right now the only places that she would go would be the paragraph covered by the home detention paragraph, which includes court appearances, attorney visits.

THE COURT: Well, I also find then attachment A confusing because item 1 in attachment A says "The defendant must remain in and may not leave the Central District of California, the Eastern District of New York, and the Southern District of New York."

```
5
 1
        -- at a specific location in California?
 2
                  MR. BUCKLEY: That's correct, Judge.
                  THE COURT: That should be added to this document
 3
        as well, it seems to me -- unless I'm missing that.
 4
                  THE CLERK: I think that's in attachment B.
 5
                  THE COURT: Okay. It doesn't specifically say that
 6
 7
        she has to reside there. So what is the address at which she
        will reside?
 8
 9
                  MS. PENZA: It is the one covered by the little
        Roman numeral two on attachment B under No. 1.
10
                  THE COURT: Understood. Okay. So I'm going to add
11
        that as another condition of release.
12
13
             (Pause.)
14
                  MR. BUCKLEY: And then, Judge, if I may, just one
15
        other point of clarification.
16
                  We've talked with the prosecutors about this, but
        we just want the record to be clear, that paragraph 2 in
17
        attachment A pertains to Ms. Mack only.
18
19
                  It does not in any way limit defense counsel's
20
        right or ability to confer with anyone, including the various
21
        individuals listed in paragraph 2.
22
                  THE COURT: Understood. Thank you.
23
             (Pause.)
24
                  THE COURT: There's a place for Melinda Mack to
25
        cosign? And I presume Jonathan Mack as well, right?
```

```
6
                  MR. BUCKLEY: Yes, Your Honor. Although Mr. Mack
 1
 2
        is in California. And we are going to make arrangements to
 3
        have the bond transmitted to the district court out there so
 4
        that he can sign it.
 5
                  THE COURT: Melinda Mack is in court?
                  MR. BUCKLEY: Yes, she is, Your Honor.
 6
 7
                  THE COURT: All right.
 8
                  THE CLERK: Can we bring her up?
 9
                  THE COURT: Well, let me tender this now for their
        review and signature.
10
             (Pause.)
11
12
                  THE CLERK: Have your client sign in the lower
        right hand corner.
13
                  The judge is going to ask you some questions, so
14
15
        I'm going to put you under oath. Please raise your hand.
16
             (The suretor is sworn.)
                  THE CLERK: Please state your name for the record
17
        and speak loudly. It's being recorded.
18
19
                  MS. MACK: Okay.
20
                  THE CLERK: Your name?
2.1
                  MS. MACK: Melinda Mack.
22
                  THE CLERK: Thank you.
23
             (Pause.)
24
                  THE COURT: Ms. Mack, let me just ask you to
25
        confirm that this is your signature on this document.
```

```
7
 1
                  MS. MACK: Yes, it is.
 2
                  THE COURT: It's represented to the court that you
 3
        are Allison Mack's mother. I take it that's true?
 4
                  MS. MACK: Yes.
 5
                  THE COURT: And I understand that you are a
        co-owner of property at 3222 Orangewood Avenue in Rossmoor,
 6
 7
        California?
 8
                  MS. MACK: Yes.
 9
                  THE COURT: And the other co-owner is Jonathan
       Mack?
10
                  MS. MACK: Yes.
11
12
                  THE COURT: I take it that's your husband?
                  MS. MACK: Yes.
13
                  THE COURT: Are you the sole owners of that
14
15
        property?
16
                  MS. MACK: Yes.
17
                  THE COURT: All right. Did you have an adequate
18
        opportunity to review the document that you signed, and was
19
        it explained to you also by Ms. Mack's -- your daughter's,
        counsel?
20
2.1
                  MS. MACK: Yes.
22
                  THE COURT: Do you have any questions about the
23
        document?
24
                  MS. MACK: No.
25
                  THE COURT: All right. You should understand that
```

```
1
        by signing the document you've obligated yourself to pay $5
 2
        million in the event that your daughter fails to appear in
 3
        court when she's required to appear, or if she otherwise
        violates any of the conditions of release that are set forth
 4
        in the document that you signed.
 5
                  Do you understand that?
 6
 7
                  MS. MACK: Yes.
 8
                  THE COURT: If the obligation becomes payable, the
        government can seize and sell the property that you own, use
 9
        the proceeds of that sale to pay down the obligation.
10
                  But if it's not sufficient to satisfy the entire
11
12
        obligation, you would still be liable for the balance, any
        balance that was due.
13
14
                  Do you understand that?
15
                  MS. MACK: (No audible response.)
16
                  THE COURT: Do you understand that?
                  MS. MACK: Yeah.
17
                  THE COURT: Do you have any questions about it?
18
19
                  MS. MACK: (No audible response.)
20
                  THE COURT: All right. Ms. Allison Mack, you
21
        signed this document as well?
22
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
23
                  THE COURT: You've taken the same obligation; that
24
        is, to pay $5 million in the event that you fail to appear in
25
        court when you're required to appear or, if you otherwise
```

```
9
 1
        violate any of the conditions of release.
 2
                  Do you understand that?
 3
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
                  THE COURT: And I gather -- and I'm given to
 4
 5
        believe anyway that you own property that's posted here as
        well?
 6
 7
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
 8
                  THE COURT: If the obligation becomes payable, then
        you -- that property could be seized, sold, and the proceeds
 9
        used to pay the obligation. If it's not sufficient, you'd be
10
        still liable for the balance.
11
12
                  Do you understand that?
13
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
                  THE COURT: I should also warn you that if you fail
14
15
        to appear or if you otherwise violate conditions of release,
16
        you can be arrested and prosecuted for the crime of bail
        jumping, which carries substantial additional penalties over
17
        and above those applicable to the crimes that you are now
18
19
        charged with.
20
                  Is there another appearance scheduled at present,
2.1
        counsel?
22
                  MS. PENZA: Your Honor, there is currently an
23
        appearance scheduled for May 3rd before Judge Garaufis.
24
        understand from defense counsel that they may seek to waive
        Ms. Mack's appearance for that -- for that initial
25
```

```
10
 1
        conference.
 2
                  THE COURT: Mr. Jonathan Mack is to sign by May
 3
              Is that an agreed upon date?
                  MS. PENZA: May 4th, Your Honor.
 4
                  THE COURT: What is the government's position with
 5
        respect to release pending the second signature?
 6
 7
                  MS. PENZA: Your Honor, the government is -- does
 8
        consent to Ms. Mack's release pending the second signature.
 9
                  We have also reached an agreement with defense
        counsel regarding release pending the securing of the
10
        property -- properties and bank accounts.
11
                  There are -- for the property that is owned by Ms.
12
13
        Mack's -- by Melinda Mack, either that property or the bank
        account that belongs to the defendant, Ms. Mack, one of those
14
15
        will be posted by May 4th, and then the remainder will be
16
        posted by May 11th.
                  THE COURT: Okay. Are you -- I do not see, unless
17
        I'm overlooking it, any bank account other than the
18
19
        retirement account.
20
                  Is that what you're talking about?
21
                  MS. PENZA: That's the account that we're referring
22
        to, Your Honor.
23
                  THE COURT: All right. And you have agreed upon
```

dates for those liens to be accomplished, which you've just

24

25

stated?

11 1 MS. PENZA: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. 3 MS. PENZA: Your Honor, if I may. I'm sorry. 4 We would ask that the defendant be advised regarding the specific condition in this bond. 5 As the government put forth in our detention 6 7 letter, there were serious concerns regarding risk of flight 8 and potential danger to the community, and so we would like 9 especially the condition regarding the inability to associate with any current or former members of NXIVM to be relayed to 10 the defendant in the presence of Your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: All right. Well, you're not asking me to read the entire set of conditions I gather? 13 MS. PENZA: No, Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: All right. But you want me to just 16 emphasize that. I think that's fair, given the nature of the charges. 17 18 I do want to confirm with Ms. Mack that you have 19 reviewed the various conditions of release. You're aware of 20 what they require you to do or not do I take it? 2.1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Okay. But in particular you are 23 directed not to associate or have any contact, except in the 24 presence of your attorney, with anyone named as a co-25 defendant or any co-conspirator or anybody, -- any individual

currently or formerly employed by or associated with NXIVM or any affiliated or constituent entity.

So basically you should avoid contact with anybody that you know to have been connected in any way with NXIVM, in addition to your co-defendant and any other co-conspirators.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Is there anything further?

MS. PENZA: Not from the government, Your Honor.

MR. BUCKLEY: Not from defense counsel, thank you,

Judge.

2.1

THE COURT: All right. We're adjourned?

MS. QUIJIJE: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. QUIJIJE: Sorry, Your Honor. Jeannine Quijije, Pretrial Services.

Your Honor, Pretrial was just made aware that the defendant will be living in California. There is a home detention component to this. We need to call California to inquire whether they use the same technology as we do. So we need to make the phone calls. So I don't know if you want to just hold on until we try to figure that portion out?

THE COURT: Well, let me ask this. What is the -- is the plan for Ms. Mack to travel as soon as possible to

```
California?
```

MR. BUCKLEY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And you wanted -- I gather Pretrial wants to ensure that upon arrival there that Pretrial in California would be in a position to accomplish the electronic monitoring?

MS. QUIJIJE: Correct, Your Honor. And in addition, Your Honor, there is a cyber component to this.

We don't know if California has the same -- if they even have the ability to monitor the cyber portion of it. So we would need to make a couple of phone calls before we can assure the Court that the conditions will be met.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know. The cyber component -- you mean the access to computers?

MS. QUIJIJE: Correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And the internet devices?

MS. QUIJIJE: Correct, Your Honor.

MR. BUCKLEY: Judge, those are all conditions that we have agreed and consented to. That said, we don't think that there's a need to delay Ms. Mack's release. She is going to reside with her parents. She's going to comply with these terms. And those conditions can be put into place in a reasonable amount of time. And I think the parties' agreement here that she would be released upon her signature and that of her mother.

THE COURT: Well, does the government want to be heard on this?

MS. PENZA: Your Honor, perhaps if the defendant can agree not to use a cellular device or internet capable device in the amount of time it takes for us to sort out whatever needs to be done with respect to paragraph 6 --

THE COURT: Well, it's given that Ms. Mack is prohibited from using any such devices, except as permitted by the specific terms. Those terms go into effect now.

She doesn't have -- she's already agreed to them, so let's not be -- let's not have any lack of clarity about that.

The only question is is, you know, how effective the government Pretrial Services Office can be in monitoring any such activity.

But then -- and I guess the question for me is whether the release should be delayed until we know what they're capabilities are?

The problem is if it turns out that there are no such capabilities, what's the alternative? I mean, I guess what I'm saying is suppose you find out nothing can be done?

I'm presuming that there's electronic monitoring in

California --

MS. QUIJIJE: Correct, right.

THE COURT: -- because I don't know of any

```
1
        jurisdiction that doesn't have that.
 2
                  MS. PENZA: Right. It's a matter of the GPS.
 3
                  MS. QUIJIJE: Right. Correct, Your Honor. So we
        need to just make sure that the District of California has
 4
        the same technology that we have so that we fit her --
 5
                  THE COURT: I understand that. But what if they
 6
 7
        don't?
 8
                  MS. QUIJIJE: They have a component of a
 9
        technology, not necessarily what we use. But when we -- when
        she leaves this courtroom and we put her with a bracelet, we
10
        need to make sure that they have some ability to either
11
12
        track --
13
                  THE COURT: Oh, you're going to install the
        bracelet here?
14
15
                  MS. QUIJIJE: Correct.
16
                  THE COURT: Well, why don't we do this. I'm going
        to sign the order permitting release. And make the phone
17
18
        calls.
19
                  And if you run into difficulty -- it's going to
20
        take a couple of hours, I think, until Ms. Mack is actually -
21
        - she's going to meet with you anyway after release.
22
                  MS. QUIJIJE: Right.
23
                  THE COURT: So let's see what we find out, and
24
        we'll deal with a problem, if there is one, once we find out
25
        if there is one. Okay?
```

```
16
 1
                  Anything else?
 2
                  MS. PENZA: Not from the government, Your Honor.
                  MR. BUCKLEY: No, thank you, Your Honor.
 3
                  THE COURT: All right. We're adjourned. Thank
 4
 5
        you.
                  MS. PENZA: Thank you.
 6
 7
                  MR. MCGOVERN: Thank you.
             (Proceedings concluded at 2:57 p.m.)
 8
 9
             I, CHRISTINE FIORE, court-approved transcriber and
10
11
        certified electronic reporter and transcriber, certify that
        the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official
12
13
        electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-
14
        entitled matter.
15
              Christine Fiere
16
17
                                            April 26, 2018
18
        Christine Fiore, CERT
19
20
21
22
23
24
```